



Profiling sequential programs



Key question

- How do I know where my code spends most of its time?
 - This is called "profiling"
 - Many (free and commercial) tools exist
- Baseline tool: GNU gprof
 - Supported by GCC and Intel compilers (and others)
- Linux "perf" infrastructure
- Based on the profile, optimization can be planned
 - Reduction of work
 - Doing work more efficiently





Profiling with gprof

Caveat: all-new profiling tool "gprofng" upcoming!



Profiling with gprof

- Basic sequential profiling tool under Linux: gprof
- Compiling for a profiling run

\$ icx -pg

- After running the binary, a file gmon.out is written to the current directory
- Human-readable output:

\$ gprof a.out

- Inlining should be disabled for profiling
 - But then the executed code isn't what it should be...

Example with wrapped double class:

```
class D {
  double d;
public:
                                   Main program:
  D(double d=0) : d( d) {}
  D operator+(const D& o) {
                                const int n=10000000;
    Dr;
                                D a[n],b[n];
    r.d = d+o.d;
                                D sum;
    return r;
                                for(int i=0; i<n; ++i)</pre>
  operator double() {
                                  a[i] = b[i] = 1.5;
    return d;
                                double s = timestamp();
};
                                for(int k=0; k<10; ++k) {</pre>
                                  for(int i=0; i<n; ++i)</pre>
                                     sum = sum + a[i] + b[i];
```

Profiling with gprof: Example profiler output

icpx -03 -pg perf.cc

% cumulativeselfselftotaltimesecondssecondscallsTs/callname101.010.410.41main

icpx -03 -fno-inline -pg perf.cc

용	cumulative	self		self	total		
time	seconds	seconds	calls	ns/call	ns/call	name	
46.44	0.59	0.59	20000000	2.93	4.48	D::operator+(D	const&)
29.63	0.96	0.37	24000001	1.56	1.56	D::D(double)	
24.82	1.27	0.31				main	

- But where did the time actually go?
 - Butterfly (callgraph) profile also available
 - Real problem also with use of libraries (STL!)
 - Sometimes you have to roll your own little profiler (see later!)



Butterfly (call graph) profile

granul	arity:	each samp	ole hit cov	vers 2 byte(s) for 20.00% of 0.05 seconds
index	* time	self	children	called	name
					<spontaneous></spontaneous>
[1]	100.0	0.00	0.05		start [1]
		0.00	0.05	1/1	main [2]
		0.00	0.00	1/2	on_exit [28]
		0.00	0.00	1/1	exit [59]
				·	
		0.00	0.05	1/1	start [1]
[2]	100.0	0.00	0.05	1	main [2]
		0.00	0.05	1/1	report [3]
		0.00	0.05	1/1	main [2]
[3]	100.0	0.00	0.05	-, -	report [3]
[0]		0.00	0.03	8/8	timelocal [6]
		0.00	0.01	1/1	print [9]
		0.00	0.01	9/9	fgets [12]
		0.00	0.00	12/34	strncmp <cycle 1<="" td=""></cycle>
		0.00	0.00	8/8	lookup [20]
		0.00	0.00	1/1	fopen [21]
		0.00	0.00	8/8	chewtime [24]
		0.00	0.00	8/16	skipspace [44]

Visualizing the butterfly profile

- Gprof2Dot converts gprof output to graphviz "dot" file
 - https://github.com/jrfonseca/gprof2dot
- View dot file with, e.g., xdot



Profiling MPI programs with gprof

- By design, gprof is a tool for serial code
 - It can, however, be convinced to write a trace file that contains the PID in its name

```
$ GMON_OUT_PREFIX=foo mpirun -np 5 ./a.out
[...]
$ 1s
a.out foo.28219 foo.28220 foo.28221 foo.28222 foo.28223
$ gprof a.out foo.28219
```

Accumulating individual files:

```
$ gprof --sum a.out foo.* # generates gmon.sum
$ gprof a.out gmon.sum
```

Take care – all values are summed up across processes!





Sampling-based profiling with Linux perf



Sampling

- Interrupts program in regular intervals
- Records data
 - Where is the program right now (address of "current" instruction)?
 - program function
 - line of code
 - machine instruction
 - What does the call stack look like?
 - How did execution get "here"?
- Results
 - Histogram of "how much time spent where"
 - How much time is spent along a particular call path



Simple runtime profile with Linux perf

Compile with (Intel compiler; options also work for GCC):

```
$ icx -g -fno-inline -fno-omit-frame-pointer ...
```

Call executable wrapped with perf, generated file perf.data:

```
$ perf record -g ./a.out
```

Analyze the results with:

\$ perf report

S	Samples: 113K of event 'cycles:Pu', Event count (approx.): 63028635012						
	Children	Self	Command	Shared Object	Symbol		
+	100,00%	0,00%	a.out	libc.so.6	<pre>[.]libc_start_call_main</pre>		
+	100,00%	0,00%	a.out	a.out	[.] main		
+	96,01%	0,00%	a.out	a.out	[.] CG		
+	57,51%	57 , 51%	a.out	a.out	[.] axpby		
+	22,14%	22,14%	a.out	a.out	<pre>[.] dotProduct</pre>		
+	19,06%	19,06%	a.out	a.out	<pre>[.] applyStencil</pre>		
+	2,14%	0,00%	a.out	a.out	<pre>[.] computeResidual</pre>		
+	0,69%	0,64%	a.out	a.out	[.]svml_sin4_19		
	0,46%	0,41%	a.out	a.out	[.] init		
	0,23%	0,23%	a.out	a.out	[.]intel_avx_rep_memcpy		
	a a2%	a aa%	a out	[unknown]	[] avffffffffhj8aacaa		

Output of perf report

		Inclusive ti functio	me for on	Self time for function	Event used for profiling			
Sa	Samples: 113K of event 'cycles:Pu', Event count (approx.): 63028635012							
	Children	Self	Command	Shared Object	Symbol			
+	100,00%	0,00%	a.out	libc.so.6	<pre>[.]libc_start_call_main</pre>			
+	100,00%	0,00%	a.out	a.out	[.] main			
+	96,01%	0,00%	a.out	a.out	[.] CG			
+	57,51%	57,51%	a.out	a.out	[.] axpby			
+	22,14%	22,14%	a.out	a.out	[.] dotProduct			
+	19,06%	19,06%	a.out	a.out	<pre>[.] applyStencil</pre>			
+	2,14%	0,00%	a.out	a.out	<pre>[.] computeResidual</pre>			
+	0,69%	0,64%	a.out	a.out	[.]svml_sin4_19			
	0,46%	0,41%	a.out	a.out	[.] init			
	0,23%	0,23%	a.out	a.out	<pre>[.]intel_avx_rep_memcpy</pre>			
	a a2%	a aa%	a out	[unknown]	[] avffffffffh2800c00			

"profile browser" allows drilling into call tree if "-g" option was given for perf record (hit "h" for help)

Options for reporting with perf

- Show perf.data in an neurses browser (TUI) if possible:
 \$ perf report
- Show perf.data with a column for sample count:
 \$ perf report -n
- Show perf.data as a text report, with data coalesced and percentages:
 \$ perf report --stdio
- Disassemble and annotate instructions with percentages (needs some debuginfo):
 \$ perf annotate --stdio





Some general remarks about profiling



Manual profiling with a timer function

- Measuring walltime on UNIX (-like) systems
 - Stay away from CPU time it's evil!
 - Use clock_gettime() to obtain wall-clock time stamp:

```
#include <time.h>
double getTimeStamp()
    struct timespec ts;
    clock gettime(CLOCK MONOTONIC, &ts);
    return (double)ts.tv sec + (double)ts.tv nsec * 1.e-9;
}
double gettimestamp ()
    return getTimeStamp();
}
```

Consequences from the saturation pattern for profiling

Clearly distinguish between "saturating" and "scalable" performance on the chip level



Consequences from the saturation pattern for profiling

- Some bottlenecks only show up in parallel execution!
- Code profile for single thread ≠ code profile for multiple threads
 - → Single-threaded profiling may be misleading

