



Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg

Programming Techniques for Supercomputers: Shared-memory parallel processing with OpenMP

Getting Started Data Scoping Worksharing

Prof. Dr. G. Wellein^(a,b), Dr. G. Hager^(a)
 ^(a) Erlangen National High Performance Computing Center (NHR@FAU)
 ^(b) Department für Informatik
 Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Sommersemester 2025







Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg

Shared-memory parallel processing with OpenMP

Getting Started Data Scoping Worksharing

Introduction to OpenMP: Basics

- "Easy," incremental and portable parallel programming of shared-memory computers: OpenMP
- Original design goal: Data-level shared memory parallelism many extensions: Task parallelism, Accelerator offloading, SIMD support,...
- Standardized set of compiler directives & library functions: <u>http://www.openmp.org/</u>
 - FORTRAN, C and C++ interfaces are defined
 - Supported by all current compilers
 - Free tools are available
- B. Chapman, G. Jost, R. v. d. Pas: Using OpenMP. MIT Press, 2007, ISBN 978-0262533027
- R. v. d. Pas, E. Stotzer, C. Terboven: Using OpenMP The Next Step. MIT Press, 2017, ISBN 978-0-262-53478-9

Introduction to OpenMP: Software Architecture



- Programmer's view:
 - Directives/pragmas in application code
 - (A few) library routines
- User's view (code execution):
 - Environment variables determine:
 - resource allocation
 - scheduling strategies and other (implementation-dependent) behavior
- Operating system view:
 - Parallel work done by OS threads

Introduction to OpenMP: shared-memory model



- Threads:
 - Spawned by a process
 - Local register set, instruction pointer, stack
 - Shared global address space
- Data scope: shared or private
 - shared data available to all threads
 - private data only available to thread that owns it
- Data transfer between threads:
 - transparent to programmer

Introduction to OpenMP: fork-join execution model



Thread # 0 1 2 3 4

Introduction to OpenMP: General syntax in C/C++

Compiler directive:

#pragma omp [directive [clause ...]] structured block

- If OpenMP is not enabled by compiler → treated like comment
- Include file for API calls: #include <omp.h>
- Conditional compilation: Compiler's OpenMP switch sets preprocessor macro (acts like -D_OPENMP)

```
#ifdef _OPENMP
    t = omp_get_thread_num();
#endif
```

Introduction to OpenMP: General syntax in Fortran

- Each directive starts with sentinel in column 1:
 - fixed source: !\$OMP or C\$OMP or *\$OMP
 - free source: !\$OMP

followed by a directive and, optionally, clauses.

- API calls:
 - F77: include file omp_lib.h, F90+: module omp_lib
 - Conditional compilation of lines starting with !\$ or c\$ or *\$ to ensure compatibility with sequential execution
- Example:

```
myid = 0
!$ myid = omp_get_thread_num()
    numthreads = 1
!$ numthreads = omp_get_num_threads()
```

Introduction to OpenMP: parallel region

#pragma omp parallel

structured block

- Makes structured block a parallel region: All code executed between start and end of this region is executed by all threads
- This includes subroutine calls within the region



• END PARALLEL required in Fortran

Introduction to OpenMP: compile and run

- Activate OpenMP directives
 - Intel: -qopenmp, GCC: -fopenmp
- Number of threads: Shell variable OMP_NUM_THREADS

```
$ icc -qopenmp hello.c
$ OMP_NUM_THREADS=4 ./a.out
Hello from 0 of 4
Hello from 3 of 4
Hello from 1 of 4
Hello from 2 of 4
```

- Ordering of output is not defined
- Avoid extensive output to stdout in parallel regions!





Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg

Shared-memory parallel processing with OpenMP

Getting Started Data Scoping Worksharing

Data scoping: Shared vs. private data

Data in a parallel region can be:

- private to each executing thread
 → each thread has its own local copy of data
- shared between threads
 - \rightarrow there is only one instance of data available to all threads
 - → this does not mean that the instance is always visible to all threads!

OpenMP clause specifies scope of variables: #pragma omp parallel private(var1, tmp) shared(eps)



How is private data different from shared data?

```
void f() {
    int a;
    float x,y;
    ...
    #pragma omp parallel
    {
        int i;
        float y; // masking shared y
        ...
    }
}
```







stack pointer



- Local variables are kept on a stack (lastin first-out memory)
- Every thread has a private stack area
 - i.e., there is one global stack, plus one local stack for each thread
 - Private data goes to private stacks
 - Stack size is limited!

Data scoping: Shared vs. private data

- Default: All data in a parallel region is shared This includes global data (global/static variables, C++ class variables)
- Exceptions:
 - 1. Loop variables of parallel ("sliced") loops are private (cf. workshare constructs)
 - 2. Local (stack) variables within parallel region
 - 3. Local data within enclosed function calls are private unless declared static
- Stack size limits \rightarrow may be necessary to make large arrays static
 - If not possible → use heap [i.e., malloc(), new[], allocate()]
 - **OMP_STACKSIZE** shell variable allows to set per-thread stack size
 - \$ export OMP_STACKSIZE=100M

Data scoping: private data example

C:

```
include <omp.h>
. . .
int myid = 0, numthreads = 1;
#pragma omp parallel \
      private(mvid, numthreads)
#ifdef OPENMP
   myid = omp get thread num();
   numthreads = omp get num threads();
#endif
  printf("I am %d of %d\n",
          myid, numthreads);
```

Fortran 90+:

Data scoping: alternative in C

```
include <omp.h>
. . .
#pragma omp parallel
   int myid = 0, numthreads = 1;
                                              Local variables in structured block are
#ifdef OPENMP
   myid = omp get thread num();
                                              automatically private! \rightarrow less need for
   numthreads = omp get num threads();
                                              private clauses in C
#endif
   printf("I am %d of %d\n",
           myid, numthreads);
                                 Caveat: local variables are destroyed
                                 (go out of scope) at end of block!
```

Data scoping: important side effects

- What happens if a variable is unintentionally shared?
 - Nothing if it is just read
 - Possibly hazardous if at least one thread writes to it

```
float x = 0.0;
#pragma omp parallel
{
    x += some_work(...);
}
```

"Race condition"

- Clause for specifying default scope: default(shared|private|none)
- Recommendation: Use #pragma omp parallel default(none)
 - to not overlook anything
 - compiler complains about every variable that has no explicit scoping attribute

Data scoping: private variables and masking



Masking privatized variables defined in scope outside the parallel region



But what happens if the initial value is required within the parallel region?

The firstprivate clause

```
double s;
s = ...;
#pragma omp parallel firstprivate(s)
{
    s += ...;
    ... = ... + s;
}
... = ... + s;
```

Extension of private:

value of master copy is transferred to private variables

Restrictions: not a pointer, not assumed shape, not a subobject, master copy not itself private etc.







Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg

Shared-memory parallel processing with OpenMP

Getting Started Data Scoping Worksharing

Worksharing: manual loop scheduling

- Work distribution by thread ID
- Only works so easily for canonical loops
- Load balancing very hard
- Complex code

\rightarrow don't do it.

```
#include
   int tid, numth, bstart, bend, blen, N;
   double a[N], b[N], c[N], d[N];
   . . .
#pragma omp parallel private(tid, numth, bstart, bend, blen)
   tid=0; numth=1;
#ifdef OPENMP
         = omp get thread num();
   tid
   numth = omp get num threads();
#endif
   blen = N/numth;
                                             One consecutive
   if(tid < N % numth) {</pre>
      ++blen; bstart = blen * tid;
                                             chunk of iterations
   } else
                                             per thread
      bstart = blen * tid + N % numth;
   bend=bstart+blen-1;
   for(int i=bstart; i<=bend; ++i)</pre>
      a[i] = b[i] + c[i] * d[i];
                                                Actual work
```

Worksharing: parallel loop

- #pragma omp for [clauses] declares that the following loop iterations are to be distributed among threads
 - Active only if encountered within a parallel region

```
int i, N;
double a[N], b[N], c[N], d[N];
...
#pragma omp parallel // parallel threads
{
    #pragma omp for // parallelize loop
    for(i=0; i<N; ++i)
        a[i] = b[i] + c[i] * d[i];
}
```

barriers here!

- Loop counter of parallel loop is declared private implicitly
- Implicit thread synchronization (barrier) at end of parallel and at end of for
- Fortran: !\$omp do [clauses]

Worksharing: combined construct

#pragma omp parallel for structured block

- Just easier to type...
- Fortran: !\$omp parallel do / \$!omp end parallel do

#pragma omp for

- Only the loop immediately following the directive is workshared
- Restrictions on parallel loops
 - trip count must be computable (no do ... while)
 - loop body with single entry and single exit point (no breaking out of loop)
- C++ random access iterator loops are supported:

```
#pragma omp for
for(auto i=v.begin(); i!=v.end(); ++i) {
   (*i) *= 2.0;
}
```

Worksharing constructs in general

- Distribute the execution of the enclosed code region among the members of the team
 - Must be enclosed dynamically within a parallel region
 - No implied barrier on entry
 - Implicit barrier at end of worksharing (unless nowait clause is specified)
- Directives
 - for directive (C/C++), do directive (Fortran)
 - section(s) directives (ignored here)
 - **workshare** directive (Fortran 90 only ignored here)
 - Tasking (advanced)

Worksharing constructs example

Example: matrix processing with nested loop structure



Some workshare construct clauses

- Examples for workshare construct clauses:
 - private, firstprivate, lastprivate
 - nowait
 - collapse(n)
 - schedule (type [, chunk]) [see next slide]
 - reduction (Operator: list) [see later]
 - There are some more...
- Implicit barrier at the end of loop unless nowait is specified (barrier may be costly!)
- **collapse**: Fuse nested loops to a single (larger one) and parallelize it
- schedule clause specifies how iterations of the loop are distributed among the threads of the team.

Loop worksharing: the **schedule** clause

Within **schedule** (*type*[, *chunk*]), *type* can be one of the following:

- static: Iterations are divided into pieces of a size specified by *chunk*. The pieces are statically assigned to threads in the team in a round-robin fashion in the order of the thread number.
 Default chunk size: one contiguous piece for each thread.
- dynamic: Iterations are broken into pieces of a size specified by *chunk*. As each thread finishes a piece of the iteration space, it dynamically obtains the next set of iterations. *Default chunk size: 1.*
- guided: The chunk size is reduced in an exponentially decreasing manner with each dispatched piece of the iteration space. *chunk* specifies the smallest piece (except possibly the last). *Default chunk size: 1.* Initial chunk size is implementation dependent.
- runtime: The decision regarding scheduling is deferred until run time. The schedule type and chunk size can be chosen at run time by setting the OMP SCHEDULE environment variable.
- auto: Compiler/runtime decides
- Default schedule: implementation dependent

Loop worksharing: the **schedule** clause

